

Agenda Item #: 7

Cost Center: Organics Reserve

Staff Contact: Carter
Agenda Date: 6/20/2018

Approved By:

# ITEM: Discussion and Possible Action on the Organic Material Processing Services RFP Evaluation

# I. RECOMMENDED ACTION / ALTERNATIVES TO RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the Board take the following actions: 1) enter into a disposal agreement with Waste Management/Redwood Landfill for a term of three years, 2) enter into a disposal agreement with Cold Creek Compost for a term of three years, 3) direct staff to schedule a public hearing on the proposals received through the SCWMA's Organic Material Processing Services RFP for long term organic material processing for the August 15, 2018 SCWMA meeting.

#### II. BACKGROUND

The Board entered into an agreement with R3 Consulting Group (R3) at the February 15, 2017 SCWMA meeting to provide technical assistance in an RFP process. Staff prepared an online survey and held two public workshops to receive feedback from the Board and the public on the priorities for the RFP. The following list includes the major milestones for this project to date:

- The RFP was released on May 31, 2017.
- A mandatory pre-proposal conference to provide an overview to potential proposers and to determine the number of interested parties was held on June 28, 2017.
- Addendum #1 to the RFP was released on July 7, 2017, in which staff answered the
  questions received up to that point. The question period was extended to September 8,
  2017 and the proposal due date was extended to October 18, 2017.
- Shortly after the issuance of Addendum #1, the City of Santa Rosa released an RFP for Location of an Organics Processing Facility on City of Santa Rosa Property to allow the City to have a procurement process with a facility operator that may participate in the SCWMA's RFP process.
- Addendum #2 for the SCWMA's RFP was released on July 27, 2017 and contained responses to questions asked of staff up to that date. Addendum #2 also contained an invitation to interested parties to visually inspect incoming green waste at the Healdsburg Transfer Station on August 9, 2017 for the benefit of proposers understanding of the composition of incoming green waste. Six parties attended the inspection.
- Addendum #3 was issued on September 22, 2017, which extended the question period to November 13, 2017 and the proposal due date to January 16, 2018.
- Addendum #4 was issued on November 21, 2017, and answered the remaining questions received up to November 13, 2017.
- 12 proposals were received by staff by the due date of January 16, 2018.
- Two proposals have been withdrawn since January 16, 2018.
- The SCWMA Board of Directors approved the proposal evaluation weighting at the February 21, 2018 SCWMA meeting.

#### III. DISCUSSION

The SCWMA evaluation team consisting of SCWMA staff (Patrick Carter and Felicia Smith), County staff (Trish Pisenti), Mendocino Solid Waste Management Authority staff (Robert Carlson), and former SCWMA Board Member Bob Cox reviewed each proposal and met several times to achieve consensus to make recommendations to the SCWMA Board of Directors to secure short- and long-term organics (green, wood, agricultural, food waste, and potentially biosolids) processing capacity for materials generated in Sonoma County.

After reviewing the proposals and discussing the pros and cons of each proposal, the evaluation team identified six proposals that most closely matched the intent of this RFP process. Though no proposals were disqualified or eliminated from further consideration, six proposal teams were offered interviews with the evaluation team. These six proposer teams were Cold Creek Compost/Stage Gulch Organics, Hitachi Zosen INOVA, Napa Recycling and Waste Services, Renewable Sonoma, StormFisher Biogas, and Waste Management.

Through the evaluation and interview process, it became apparent to the evaluation team that no single proposer teams could immediately accomplish all of the long-term RFP goals; short term agreements of at least three years will be necessary. As such, staff recommend entering into agreements with Waste Management/Redwood Landfill and Cold Creek Compost to secure organics processing capacity through June 30, 2021, with up to five – one year extension options should additional time be needed to secure the long term processing capacity.

Though existing facilities have proposed to accept Sonoma County's organic waste in part and in whole, the following concerns were raised during the evaluation process:

- The existing facilities adjacent to Sonoma County are limited in their ability to accept food
  waste, which is expected to be the largest portion of new organic material diverted from
  landfill disposal and the major emphasis of the implementation of SB 1383 (2016);
- Depending on the location of a new compost facility (or facilities) in Sonoma County, the system greenhouse gas emissions and traffic impacts may be reduced through an incounty facility as opposed to the export;
- A new facility, or multiple facilities, designed around and dependent on Sonoma County's organic material generation is more likely to agree to terms guaranteeing the SCWMA the first right of refusal, giving the SCWMA more leverage and ability to adapt to future changes;
- State legislation (AB 1826 requiring commercial businesses generating 4 cubic yards per week of garbage to participate in an organics diversion program, and SB 1383 requiring a 75% reduction of landfilled organics) is expected to drastically increase the demand for organic material processing capacity. Recognizing this, CalRecycle has stated that dozens of new organics processing facilities will be needed to handle the organic material; and
- Members of the Sonoma County agricultural industry have emphasized the need for additional available soil amendments. Existing facilities did not address new retail locations and additional importation of soil amendments to meet demand, to staff's satisfaction.

#### Food Waste:

Existing out-of-county disposal providers are limited to accept the current commercial food waste diverted in Sonoma County, and, as mentioned previously there will be extreme pressure from the

State to divert tens of thousands of additional food waste tons in the coming years.

Another outstanding issue that staff has been attempting to resolve is directing flow of commercial food waste to a new facility and incorporating that flow commitment into a potential agreement with a new facility. The advantage to the SCWMA would be reduced per ton disposal costs, as the additional flow would likely put the SCWMA into a higher tonnage commitment tier, thus reducing the offered price. However, the Waste Delivery Agreements between the Cities (except Petaluma) and Republic Services require the Cities' franchised haulers to deliver commercial food waste to Republic-operated facilities according to the Master Operating Agreement between the County of Sonoma and Republic Services. In the section regarding the commercial food waste recycling program, it requires Republic to establish and maintain such a program, but did not foresee a situation where a new compost facility would be constructed in Sonoma County, other than at the Central Disposal Site. While initial discussion with the County Refuse staff have indicated the County is interested in redirecting commercial food waste to a potential new in-county compost facility, Republic has not yet been formally requested to consider redirecting commercial food waste from a Recology-owned and operated compost facility near Richmond, CA to a potential new non-Republic controlled or operated in-county facility. With a site recommendation public, SCWMA staff plans to begin those conversations and hopes to make substantive progress resolving the issue of redirecting commercial food waste by the August 15, 2018 SCWMA Board Meeting.

#### **Pricing:**

Depending on whether commercial food waste is included in a flow commitment to a new facility developed through this process, the price could vary substantially. The SCWMA knows from past Waste Characterization Studies that food waste represents tens of thousands of tons currently going to landfill disposal. Pricing given to the SCWMA through this RFP process was done in a number of tiers: 20,000 – 40,000 tons per year, 40,000-60,000 tons per year, 60,000 – 80,000 tons per year, 80,000 – 100,000 tons per year, and 100,000 – 120,000 tons per year. The tiers of material commitment were done for staff to evaluate the feasibility of multiple, smaller facilities, as well as the economy of scale for larger, centralized facilities. The conclusion is that the more material a single facility is designed to receive, the more advantageous the pricing becomes. Large capital costs spread over a large revenue base results in pricing not too dissimilar for current costs. However, it should be noted that all of the proposed new facilities would have greater disposal costs than those proposed by existing, out-of-county facilities. While transportation costs are expected to be lower for delivery to an in-county facility than out-of-county facilities, models to estimate the transportation and disposal costs at a new in-county facility are expected to be greater than using existing, out-of-county facilities.

#### **Conclusion:**

Given the above information and the recommendations of the Evaluation Committee, it is staff's conclusion that the SCWMA pursue an agreement with Renewable Sonoma and collaborate with the City of Santa Rosa to locate the facility on City-owned property near the Laguna Subregional Waste Water Treatment Facility. Renewable Sonoma's proposal was the highest ranked on the evaluation criteria, the site is centrally located in Sonoma County, the facility can accept a very wide range of materials, the proposal clearly indicates Renewable Sonoma's understanding of the current market for finished compost in Sonoma County as well as the additional types of organic materials that need to be diverted from landfill disposal, the facility can accommodate the current amount of material generated in Sonoma County and has the ability to accept nearly double the current generation amounts allowing for significant growth potential. The site's co-location

adjacent to the Santa Rosa Laguna facility provides benefits to both the City and Renewable Sonoma in the form of leachate treatment, energy production, waste heat transfer, processing of biosolids, and potential rehabilitation of an existing composting building owned by the City. The location itself is centrally located in Sonoma County, lending itself well for transporting material from the five transfer stations and residual material to the Central Disposal Site.

Regardless of what proposal is chosen, there are risks. In the case of the Renewable Sonoma proposal, staff has attempted to mitigate risk by 1) including a \$10 million performance bond, which would allow the SCWMA access to capital needed to redirect organics in the event of a major default of Renewable Sonoma, 2) thorough insurance and indemnification requirements by both the SCWMA and the City of Santa Rosa to ensure protection, and 3) a thorough vetting of Renewable Sonoma's financial statements by R3 Consulting Group which concluded the project had the necessary capital to permit, design, build, and operate the project proposed. Staff cannot predict what new regulations and legal challenges may come in the future, but staff believes the Renewable Sonoma proposal is in a good position to address these challenges. Staff also believes Renewable Sonoma has put together an excellent team with local and national experience developing organic material processing facilities and a clear understanding of the finished product material market in Sonoma County.

### **Next Steps:**

As multiple parties are involved, and there are a number of decision points still ahead for this process to be successful, staff recommends the following schedule:

- Staff recommends approval of short term agreements with Redwood Landfill and Cold Creek Compost with terms of at least three years at this SCWMA meeting
- Schedule a public hearing at the August 15, 2018 SCWMA Board of Directors meeting to consider public feedback on staff's recommendation of Renewable Sonoma
- Resolve the issue of including commercial food waste in a potential flow commitment resulting from this process
- Publicize the evaluation and encourage public feedback through relevant social media and local print advertising
- Consider a recommendation by staff at the August 15, 2018 SCWMA Board of Directors
  meeting to issue a Letter of Interest to Renewable Sonoma indicating the Board of
  Director's intent to enter into an agreement with Renewable Sonoma, if all of the
  conditions in the letter are met (e.g. securing a lease for the site with the City and
  permitting of the site)
- Create model staff reports for each SCWMA member jurisdiction to secure the commitment of organic waste flow to the SCWMA and assist member agency staff in the presentation to governing Councils/Board
- Though the SCWMA would not be a party to the lease between the City of Santa Rosa and Renewable Sonoma, SCWMA staff will assist in the lease process as needed or desired by Santa Rosa staff
- Re-evaluate flow commitment amounts to ensure SCWMA can meet its obligations to Renewable Sonoma
- Enter into a disposal agreement with Renewable Sonoma for a term of 20 years with two five year extensions.

#### **Alternatives Considered but Not Recommended:**

- 1. Staff considered the continued long term hauling of organic material to existing, out-of-county composting facilities as an alternative to recommending a process that would result in new processing facilities built in Sonoma County. While four proposals were received which proposed doing exactly this, staff believes it is in the best, long-term interests of Sonoma County organic waste generators to increase the number of organics processing facilities to meet the expected increase of organic material generated. For the reasons detailed above (including increased legislative requirements, need for additional food composting capacity, possible reduction of trip time with a centralized facility), staff believes there is more strategic value in additional compost facility capacity construction than continuing to use out-of-county capacity long term. Existing facilities proposed limitations on the ability to accept food waste, which is a significant component of material currently going to landfill disposal, whereas staff has been assured that new facilities are including the potential to receive significantly higher levels of food waste as part of their facility plan. Staff must point out that some of the disposal costs per ton received from existing compost facilities are significantly lower than the proposal disposal costs per ton for new compost facilities. While cost is a very important factor, staff believes that a new facility tailored to Sonoma County's organic waste stream, needs, and latest regulatory requirements will provide the best long term benefit to Sonoma County ratepayers.
- 2. Staff considered recommending multiple agreements which would have had resulted in the construction of multiple facilities, but ultimately rejected this approach. Disposal costs tend to decrease in response to additional material processed (economies of scale), and staff does not believe the strategic benefit of redundant facilities warranted the significant additional costs that would be borne by the ratepayers for in this scenario.
- 3. Another promising long term solution was provided by Hitachi Zosen Inova. That system would involve an Anaerobic Digestion system at the Laguna Treatment Plant (and the potential synergies between the two systems) as well as a system to aerate the digestate for marketing as finished compost. The system proposed could accept up to 70,000 tons per year of organic green waste, wood waste, and food waste. The costs for this system were \$89/ton. While highly ranked, staff does not recommend this proposal due to the following factors: 1) the system proposed had a cap of 70,000 tons with no proposed expansion capacity, when asked about expansion Hitachi replied that a larger system could be engineered or other sites could be considered and staff concluded those solutions would involve additional cost and 2) staff was not convinced the proposed marketer for the finished product, based in Central California, was experienced with Sonoma County finished compost markets and tolerances for material, and staff was concerned about entering into a long term solution where tons were being diverted but not truly recycled.
- 4. Redwood Landfill's composting facility has many attractive features as a long term solution. These include, proximity to Sonoma County (just beyond the southern border between Petaluma and Novato), excellent site accessibility, excellent pricing compared to potential new sites, and excellent interactions between SCWMA staff and WM staff. Staff does not recommend the Waste Management solution long term for the following reasons: 1) they cannot accept source separated food waste, a huge component of currently landfilled material which the State and SCWMA have targeted for diversion, 2) a lackluster response during interviews on setting up retail outlets in Sonoma County to market the finished compost which would close the material loop, and 3) the opinion of staff that this process should create new capacity to handle the large influx of organic materials expected to flood the system statewide in the coming years. Staff is confident Redwood Landfill and a new in-county system would be able to fill their respective capacities.

5. Cold Creek Compost and Stage Gulch Organics provided a highly ranked solution. Staff commends the team for its innovative solution condensing so much capacity into such a small footprint, developing a competitive pricing structure, and for its persistence in trying to resolve the issues related to permitting the site. While the site has potential, staff does not recommend the long term solution for the following reasons: 1) while the Stage Gulch Organics site has gone through a number of revisions to ensure compatibility with the County's land use restrictions, it is unclear whether the site will ever be viable as two County supervisors indicated their opposition to the site when the SCWMA was considering it, and neighbors for the site have already sent letters to the SCWMA Board and staff, as well as County officials indicating their opposition to the site and 2) concern with the lack of site controls, as the system would be uncovered and exposed to the weather year around.

#### IV. FUNDING IMPACT

To date, the SCWMA has paid R3 Consulting Group \$148,500 of the \$148,500 agreement maximum for their assistance in the RFP process.

#### V. ATTACHMENTS

Summary of Proposals
Financial Analysis of Short Term and Long Term staff recommendations
(Full Proposals, with confidential sections redacted, are available for review at <a href="https://www.recyclenow.org/reports">www.recyclenow.org/reports</a> and at SCWMA offices)
Agreement with Redwood Landfill for Disposal Services
Agreement with Cold Creek Compost for Disposal Services



# **Summary of Proposals**

#### **Definitions**

- Aerated Static Pile: a composting system where positive or negative air pressure draws air through
  piles of compost feedstock in aerobic conditions favorable for decomposition of organic material by
  microorganisms. Aerated Static Piles generally undergo an active compost phase with forced aeration
  and a curing phase with or without further aeration to produce finished compost.
- Anaerobic Digestion: the controlled digestion of organic material without the presence of oxygen to
  produce methane and liquid and solid digestate with the potential to be further refined into soil
  amendments.
- Bee Reactor: a proprietary aerobic composting system incorporating many features of aerated static pile system and in vessel composting using a reverse-flow bio-reactor forcing air alternatively into and drawn from batches of organic material controlling moisture and bio-degradation.
- Biomass Gasification: a technology to convert typically high carbon material to biochar (soil amendment) and a flammable gas which can be combusted to create electricity.
- Circular Turned Aerated Pile Composting: an aerated static pile process arranged in concentric rings in which compostable material is moved progressively inward through the active composting and curing processes.
- Commercial Food Waste: food waste separated from garbage and green waste generated from non-residential sources (restaurants, grocery stores, food manufacturers, institutions, offices, etc.)
- In vessel Composting: decomposition of organic material within a container (tanks, bunkers, etc.). In vessel systems can be both aerobic if used in combination with aerated static piles and anaerobic if methane production is desired.
- Master Operating Agreement (MOA): a certain agreement between the County of Sonoma and Republic Services covering, among other issues, Republic's operation of the County-owned waste system. Topics include the resumption of landfilling activities at the Central Disposal Site, construction of a Material Recovery Facility to increase material diversion, transfer of liability for site activities, and establishment of a commercial food recycling program.
- TPY: tons per year
- Turtle Q-Ring: a propriety covered aerated static pile system used for both active composting and curing of organic materials with exhaust air treated in a dedicated biofilter cover.
- Waste Delivery Agreements (WDA): agreements between Sonoma County cities (excluding the City of Petaluma) and Republic Services committing the flow of specified material to Republic Services operated facilities. Committed materials exclude green waste, wood waste, and comingled residential food waste, but include commercial source-separated food waste.

#### Summary

Twelve (12) proposals were received by the submission deadline; however, one (1) proposal was retracted on January 25, 2018. The remaining eleven (11) proposals were reviewed for an initial pass/fail evaluation. These proposals were received from the following companies:

- 1. BioMRF Technologies, Inc.
- 2. Cold Creek Compost, Inc. & Stage Gulch Organics

- 3. Entsorga
- 4. Hitachi Zosen | Inova
- 5. Napa Recycling & Waste Services
- 6. Recology Hay Road dba Jepson Prairie Organics
- 7. Renewable Sonoma
- 8. Sacyr Environment USA, LLC
- 9. StormFisher Biogas
- 10. Vision Recycling
- 11. Waste Management Redwood Landfill, Inc. dba Redwood Landfill & Recycling Center

The criteria for the evaluation mirrored the requirements set forth in the RFP documents released on May 31, 2017 and revised through Addendum 4 on November 21, 2017.

Missing or incomplete information was requested from all proposers on January 22, 2018 to be provided no later than January 25, 2018. All proposers provided the requested information by the due date.

Since January 16, 2018, the evaluation team has been evaluating the proposals and seeking clarification on key items in each proposal. The evaluation team met to discuss each proposer's qualifications, feasibility, compatibility with SCWMA's overall needs, quality of finished product, tipping rates and financial stability, based on the criteria set forth in the RFP.

The following is a summary of the proposals reviewed by the evaluation team. These are separated by the term length proposed by each of the proposers.

# **Short Term Options**

There were four (4) short term proposals received. None of the proposed options offered an in-County facility short-term. Organic Materials collected by franchised haulers (excluding the City of Petaluma) are flow controlled to the Sonoma County Transfer Stations, with the exception of commercial source separated food scraps. Commercial source separated food scraps are currently handled by Republic Services through their Master Operating Agreement (MOA) with the County of Sonoma.

Below is a summary of all four (4) short term proposals received.

#### Cold Creek Compost, Inc.

Cold Creek Compost, Inc. (CCC) is a Limited Liability Company (LLC). The proposed location is an existing facility located near Ukiah, CA. CCC has proposed a term of 3-, 15- and/or 20-years in length using aerated static pile composting technology. The facility proposed will accept all Organic Materials, except food ware and biosolids. The proposed facility has available capacity for 17,000 tons per year (TPY) to address the SCWMA's immediate needs. CCC is currently in the process of increasing the facility's annual tonnage from 50,000 TPY to 200,000 TPY. Upon completion of the proposed facility expansion, additional capacity will be made available for the proposed 15- or 20-year term. CCC proposed to accept 80,000 to 100,000 TPY.

#### Napa Recycling & Waste Services

Napa Recycling & Waste Services (NRWS) is an LLC. The proposed location is an existing facility located in American Canyon, CA. NRWS is under contract with the City of Napa to operate the Napa Materials Diversion Facility. The proposed term length is 3-, 10-, 15-, or 20-years using an aerated static pile for the 3-year term. As a long-term solution, NRWS is planning to add additional technologies including covered aerated static pile composting, organics de-packaging, anaerobic digestion, and biomass gasification technologies. NRWS has available capacity to accept between 26,000 and 46,800 TPY. The facility proposed will accept all Organic Materials, except biosolids.

# Recology Hay Road dba Jepson Prairie Organics

Recology Hay Road dba Jepson Prairie Organics (Recology JPO) is a corporation. The proposed location is an existing facility located in Vacaville, CA using an aerated static pile composting technology. Recology JPO has proposed a 3-year term length and will accept mixed organic materials (residential) only. The facility has capacity to available to take 40,000 TPY of SCWMA mixed organic materials.

#### Waste Management Redwood Landfill, Inc. dba Redwood Landfill & Recycling Center

Waste Management Redwood Landfill, Inc., dba Redwood Landfill & Recycling Center (WM Redwood) is a corporation. The proposed location is an existing facility located in Novato, CA using a covered aerated static pile composting technology. WM Redwood has proposed a 3- and/or 20-year term length. The facility will accept wood waste, green waste, and mixed organic materials (green waste and residential food waste) only. The facility has capacity to available to take 66,000 TPY of SCWMA mixed organic materials.

#### **Long Term Options**

There were ten (10) long term proposals received. Of the proposed options, two (2) proposed facilities out of County and eight (8) proposed facilities located in Sonoma County including five (5) proposals siting a facility on the City of Santa Rosa's site adjacent to the waste water treatment plan. Below is a summary of all ten (10) long term proposals received.

## **BioMRF Technologies**

BioMRF Technologies (BioMRF) is a corporation. The proposed location is a new facility located on Santa Rosa property using in vessel and aerated static pile composting technology. BioMRF has proposed a 20-year term length. The facility will accept all organic materials. The facility has capacity to available to take 66,000 – 80,000 TPY of SCWMA organic materials.

#### Cold Creek Compost, Inc., & Stage Gulch Organics

Cold Creek Compost, Inc., & Stage Gulch Organics (CCC & SGO) is an LLC. The proposed location is a new facility located in Petaluma, CA. CCC & SGO has proposed a term of 15- and/or 20-years in length using circular turned aerated pile composting technology. The facility proposed will accept all Organic Materials, except service ware, manure, and biosolids. The proposed facility will have available capacity for 60,000 – 100,000 TPY of SCWMA organic materials.

#### Enstorga

Enstorga is a corporation. The proposed location is a new facility located in the City of Santa Rosa on Todd Road. Enstorga proposed to use a Bee Reactor and Turtle Q-Ring System (Option 1) or the turtle Q-Ring System (Options 2). The proposed term length is 20-years. The facility will accept all organic materials, except manure and biosolids. The facility will have 68,640 TPY of available capacity of SCWMA organic materials, if Option 1 is selected. There will be 44,844 TPY of available capacity of SCWMA organic materials if Option 2 is selected.

#### Hitachi Zosen | INOVA

Hitachi Zosen | INOVA (Hitachi) is a corporation. The proposed location is a new facility located on the City of Santa Rosa's property using a Kompogas anaerobic digestion composting technology. Hitachi has proposed a term of 20-years in length. The facility proposed will accept all Organic Materials, except wood waste, manure, and biosolids. The proposed facility will have available capacity for 70,000 TPY of SCWMA organic materials.

#### Renewable Sonoma

Renewable Sonoma is an LLC. The proposed location is a new facility located on the City of Santa Rosa's property using a covered aerated static pile and anaerobic digestion composting technologies. Renewable Sonoma has proposed a term of 20-years in length. The facility proposed will accept all Organic Materials, except food ware. The proposed facility will have available capacity for 60,000 – 140,000 TPY of SCWMA organic materials.

#### Sacyr Environmental USA, LLC

Sacyr Environmental USA, LLC (Sacyr) is an LLC. The proposed location is a new facility located on the City of Santa Rosa's property using an in-vessel composting technology. Sacyr has proposed a term of 20-years in length. The facility proposed will accept Green Waste, Mixed Organics without Food Ware (residential) and Biosolids. The proposed facility will have available capacity for 95,811 – 110,782 TPY of SCWMA organic materials.

#### StormFisher Biogas

StormFisher Biogas (StormFisher) is a corporation. The proposed location is a new facility located on the City of Santa Rosa's property using an anaerobic digester and in-vessel composting technologies. StormFisher has proposed a term of 20-years in length. The facility proposed will accept all Organic Materials, except Manure and Biosolids. The proposed facility will have available capacity for 60,000 – 80,000 TPY of SCWMA organic materials.

#### Vision Recycling

Vision Recycling (Vision) is a corporation. The proposed location is a new facility located in the City of Santa Rosa on Piner Road. Vision proposed to use an aerated static pile composting technology. The proposed term length is 10- or 15-years. The facility will accept all organic materials, except Biosolids. The facility will have 10,000 – 60,000 TPY of available capacity of SCWMA organic materials.

On May 17, 2018, Vision Recycling retracted their proposal.

Sonoma County Waste Management Agency - Organic Materials Processing Services														
	Long Term									Short Term				
Company:		BioMRF	CC&SG	Enstorga	Hitachi	Napa Long	Renewable Sonoma	Sacyr	Stormfisher	WM Long	СС	Napa Short	Recology	WM Short
Evaluation of Proposals		TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
Proposer's Qualifications	Max Score	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Evaluation Team Scor		12.2	13.2	9.6	17.3	14.6	16.0	11.6		16.1	15.6	15.2	14.1	16.5
Feasibility of Proposal	Max Score	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Evaluation Team Score		12.0	10.8	9.7	18.0	14.0	16.7	11.5	12.7	13.2	16.7	15.8	15.1	16.7
<b>Compatibility with Needs</b>	Max Score	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Evalua	ition Team Score	10.9	10.8	9.4	11.1	10.2	13.1	6.8	10.0	7.8	12.4	12.0	8.7	11.5
<b>Proposer's Marketing Plan</b>	Max Score	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Evalua	ition Team Score	7.8	10.8	9.5	10.3	9.9	13.8	8.0	8.6	8.6	11.1	11.0	8.9	9.8
Per Ton Costs and Capacity	Max Score	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Evalua	ition Team Score	14.7	29.0	14.8	19.1	27.3	19.1	14.9	15.9	30.0	39.2	28.8	21.4	29.8
	Total Points	57.8	74.5	53.1	75.9	76.1	78.8	52.9	59.3	75.8	95.0	82.8	68.1	84.3

Short Term, 75,000 tons, 3 years +									
Destination Facility	Co	st/Ton	Transportation Co	ost/Ton, Avg	Total Cos	t/Ton	Tons Disposed	Tota	l Cost
Redwood Landfill	\$	46.00	\$	20.06	\$	66.06	56,500	\$	3,732,390
Cold Creek Compost	\$	36.00	\$	37.19	\$	73.19	16,000	\$	1,171,040
Napa Recycling and Waste Services	\$	49.00	\$	27.47	\$	76.47	-	\$	-
								\$	4,903,430
Short Term, 100,000 tons, 3 years +									
Destination Facility	Co	st/Ton	Transportation Co	ost/Ton, Avg	Total Cos	t/Ton	Tons Disposed	Tota	l Cost
Redwood Landfill	\$	46.00	\$	20.06	\$	66.06	60,000	\$	3,963,600
Cold Creek Compost	\$	36.00	\$	37.19	\$	73.19	16,000	\$	1,171,040
Napa Recycling and Waste Services	\$	49.00	\$	27.47	\$	76.47	24,000	\$	1,835,280

Long Term, 75,000 tons, 20 years										
Destination Facility	Cost/Ton	Transportation Cost/Ton, Av	vg	Total C	Cost/Ton	Tons Di	sposed	Tota	al Cost	Notes
Renewable Sonoma	\$ 89.00	\$	14.59	\$	103.59	\$	75,000	\$	7,769,250	
Redwood Landfill	\$ 46.00	\$	20.06	\$	66.06	\$	75,000	\$	4,954,500	Commercial food sent to other facilities, additional cost
Hitachi Zosen	\$ 89.00	\$	14.59	\$	103.59	\$	70,000	\$	7,251,300	Capacity limited to 70,000 tons per year
Cold Creek/Stage Gulch	\$ 50.00	) \$	18.45	\$	68.45	\$	75.000	Ś	5,133,750	

6,969,920

Long Term, 100,000 tons, 20 years											
Destination Facility	Co	st/Ton	Transportation Co	ost/Ton, Avg	Total	Cost/Ton	Tons	s Disposed	Tota	al Cost	Notes
Renewable Sonoma	\$	78.00	\$	14.59	\$	92.59	\$	100,000	\$	9,259,000	
Redwood Landfill	\$	46.00	\$	20.06	\$	66.06	\$	30,000	\$	1,981,800	Insufficent Capacity Alone
Hitachi Zosen	\$	89.00	\$	14.59	\$	103.59	\$	70,000	\$	7,251,300	Insufficent Capacity Alone
Combination of two lines above							\$	100,000	\$	9,233,100	
Cold Creek Compost/Stage Gulch Organics	\$	50.00	\$	18.45	\$	68.45	\$	100,000	\$	6,845,000	